

Application No.

08/157,195

Apriliance (a)

Hen**c**o et al.

Interview Summary Example 1

Examiner

Kenneth R. Horlick

Group Art Unit 1634

11\ Konnoth P. Horlick		(3)
(2) William Player		(4)
Date of Interview	ul 8, 1998	<u> </u>
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Perso	nal (copy is given to	applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration co	onducted:	No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌	was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: pending; parti	cularly claim 79	
Identification of prior art discussed	l:	
none		
		o if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: on 79 indefinite. To address this issue, an examiner's amendment
		ew claim 109 to cover the further limitation regarding the number
of G:C residues.		

1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.